

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/828,853 | LIN, MING-HSIEN |
| | Examiner Gary K Graham | Art Unit 1744 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Gary K Graham.

(3) _____.

(2) Keith Kline (32,737).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-4

Prior art documents discussed:

Hoffman, Lin, Libman et al


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner discussed closest art and indicated that limitations of claim 2, as well as defining that the transverse bars have a rectangular transverse cross-section, if put into claim 1 would be allowable. Applicant agrees. See Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)